

EQUIVALENCE CLASSES OF LATIN SQUARES AND NETS IN $\mathbb{CP}^2$

C. DUNN, M. MILLER, M. WAKEFIELD, AND S. ZWICKNAGL

ABSTRACT. The fundamental combinatorial structure of a net in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ is its associated set of mutually orthogonal latin squares. We define equivalence classes of sets of orthogonal Latin squares by label equivalences of the lines of the corresponding net in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. Then we count these equivalence classes for small cases. Finally, we prove that the realization spaces of these classes in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ are empty to show some non-existence results for 4-nets in $\mathbb{CP}^2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we examine pairs of orthogonal Latin squares and use them to derive some non-existence results for $(4, k)$ -nets in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. Informally speaking, an (n, k) -net in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ consists of n different sets of k lines in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ such that for every intersection point, p , of lines from different sets there must be exactly one line from every set passing through p . More precisely, we will use the following definition from [23].

Definition 1.1. *A (n, k) -net in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ for $n \geq 3$ consists of a set of lines $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$ and a finite set of points $\chi \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{A}$ can be partitioned into n subsets $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}_i$ where $|\mathcal{A}_i| = k$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ subject to the following conditions*

- (1) *If $\ell_1 \in \mathcal{A}_i$ and $\ell_2 \in \mathcal{A}_j$ then $\ell_1 \cap \ell_2 \in \chi$ whenever $i \neq j$.*
- (2) *For every $X \in \chi$ and every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ there is exactly one line $\ell \in \mathcal{A}_i$ such that $X \in \ell$.*

Nets have appeared in several different areas of mathematics over the last century. Reidemeister was one of the first to examine nets in his research on webs and their relationship to groups (see [16]). The existence of nets has also been shown to influence the existence of finite projective planes (see [7]). More recently, Libgober and Yuzvinsky used nets in their investigation of local systems on the complement of a complex hyperplane arrangement in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ (see [12]). In [12], Libgober and Yuzvinsky investigated these nets and showed that (n, k) -nets can only exist in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ if $n \leq 5$. Then, in [23], Yuzvinsky classified certain classes of nets in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. In Problem 1 of [23] Yuzvinsky asks if there are any 4-nets in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ other than the Hessian configuration. In this paper we give a partial answer to this question. After

the writing of this paper the authors learned of the overlapping but independent work of Stipins [17, 18] and Urzua [20, 21]. Stipins main result in [17] provides a more complete result than ours and proves that there are no (n, k) -nets in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ for $n \geq 4$ and $k \geq 4$. Stipins uses geometric methods and hence provides a geometric explanation for their non-existence. Our methods are elementary and direct. In [20] and [21] Urzua has furthered the classification of $(3, k)$ -nets in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ by describing all possible realizations of $(3, 6)$ -nets and their associated moduli spaces.

Our approach towards this question uses the well known fact that one can associate $n - 2$ mutually orthogonal $k \times k$ Latin squares to a (n, k) -net (see e.g. [7]). Recall that a Latin square is a $k \times k$ array containing the numbers $1, \dots, k$ so that there are no repetitions of any number within the same row or column. Now, given a (n, k) -net we label the n sets of lines by the numbers $1, 2, \dots, n$ and label the lines in each of the sets by the numbers $1, 2, \dots, k$. With this labeling define $k \times k$ -arrays L^m (for $m = 1, \dots, n - 2$) by setting the i^{th} entry in the j^{th} column of L^m to be $L_{ij}^m = \ell$, if the i^{th} line of the first set and the j^{th} line of the second set meet the ℓ line of the m^{th} set in their intersection point. Every intersection of a line in the first set with a line in the second set is contained by exactly one line from the m^{th} set. Thus, L^m is a Latin square. Recall also that two $k \times k$ Latin squares L^1 and L^2 are orthogonal if for each pair $(\ell, m) \in \{1, \dots, k\}^2$ there exists exactly one pair $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, k\}^2$ such that $L_{ij}^1 = \ell$ and $L_{ij}^2 = m$. When $n \geq 4$, every intersection of a line in the first set with a line in the second set is contained in exactly one line from the m^{th} set, and one line from the m'^{th} set. Thus, the Latin squares L^m and $L^{m'}$ are orthogonal. However, this labeling of the lines is not unique; permuting the labels of the lines in one of the sets, or the numbering of the sets can lead to different sets of orthogonal Latin squares.

In this paper we propose the following program to classify (n, k) -nets:

- (1) Define an equivalence relation on the set of $(n - 2)$ -tuples of mutually orthogonal $k \times k$ -Latin squares identifying those tuples which are obtained from the same net.
- (2) Choose a representative for each equivalence class and investigate whether it can be realized as a net in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. One obtains a system of equations whose solutions describe the moduli space of isomorphism classes of nets in $\mathbb{CP}^2$.

In the present paper we will apply this approach to the case $n = 4$. Denote the set of all possible pairs of orthogonal $k \times k$ Latin squares by OLS_k . We define the equivalence relation $\sim'$ in the next section. We will call the set of these equivalence classes corresponding to Step (1) of our program $OLS_k / \sim'$. We obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.2. (see Theorems 3.4, 3.5, 3.6) *Using the notation established above, we have*

- (1) $|OLS_3/\sim'| = 1.$
- (2) $|OLS_4/\sim'| = 1.$
- (3) $1 \leq |OLS_5/\sim'| \leq 2.$

Then we calculate the realization space, as a net in $\mathbb{CP}^2$, of a representative of each equivalence class in Theorem 1.2 to conclude the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *The following is a complete classification of $(4, k)$ -nets in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ up to projective isomorphism, for $k = 3, 4, 5, 6$.*

- (1) *The Hessian arrangement is the only $(4, 3)$ -net in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ up to projective isomorphism.*
- (2) *There are no $(4, k)$ -nets in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ for $k = 4, 5, 6$.*

The solution to Euler's well known "36 Officer Problem" shows $|OLS_6| = 0$ (see [19]), and so the case $k = 6$ in Assertion 2 of Theorem 1.3 is obvious.

Remark 1.4. *Many other authors have studied many different classes of orthogonal Latin squares (see [7], [5], or [14] for example). Though the literature is vast, the equivalence classes described herein appear to have not been studied previously. Some of our results overlap with previously known results, but we include our own proofs in this new context to provide a more complete and self-contained treatment of this subject.*

2. PRELIMINARIES

We will use the following notation throughout the paper. Let Sym_k be the standard permutation group of k objects. Let $\mathcal{L}_k$ be the set of all Latin squares of size k . An element $L = \{L_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^k \in \mathcal{L}_k$ is an $k \times k$ array containing the numbers $1, \dots, k$ so that there are no repetitions of any number within the same row or column. Thus, we can associate to each Latin square and each pair $i \neq j$ the permutation $\sigma_{ij}^L \in \text{Sym}_k$ defined by $\sigma_{ij}^L(L_{ip}) = L_{jp}$ for $p = 1, \dots, k$. That means σ_{ij}^L is the permutation that sends the p^{th} entry of the i^{th} row to the p^{th} entry of the j^{th} row; we will suppress the superscript except in cases where there could be ambiguity (see Proposition 3.3). A latin square is therefore uniquely described by its first column and the permutations $\sigma_{1,2}, \sigma_{2,3}, \dots, \sigma_{k-1,k}$. For this paper, we may refer to a Latin square by these permutations as $L(\sigma_{1,2}, \dots, \sigma_{k-1,k})$. Since there are no repetitions in a row, the σ_{ij} must be fixed point free.

There are several operations of Latin squares that preserve the property of being Latin, i.e., they define bijective maps from $\mathcal{L}_k$ to itself. Let $L \in \mathcal{L}_k$.

(S1): Exchange row i with row j .

(S2): Exchange column i with column j .

(S3): Exchange two of the symbols in L .

We define a relation $\sim$ on $\mathcal{L}_k$ as follows, $L \sim L'$ if and only if one can change L into L' by a finite sequence of applications of (S1), (S2), and (S3).

The following set, which is just the set of Latin squares that are multiplication tables of cyclic groups, will be of principle use in this note:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}'_k &:= \{L \in \mathcal{L}_k \mid \sigma_{i,i+1}^L = \sigma_{j,j+1}^L \text{ for all } i, j, \text{ and } \sigma_{i,i+1}^L \text{ is a } k\text{-cycle}\}, \\ \mathcal{G}_k &:= \{L \in \mathcal{L}_k \mid \exists H \in \mathcal{G}'_k \text{ so that } L \sim H\}.\end{aligned}$$

For convenience, we write an element $L \in \mathcal{G}'_k$ as L_σ , where σ is the associated permutation.

The Latin squares L and L' are said to be *orthogonal* if the map $(i, j) \mapsto (L_{ij}, L'_{ij})$ is surjective (and hence bijective) as a function from $\{1, \dots, k\} \times \{1, \dots, k\}$ to itself. Denote the set of all orthogonal Latin squares of size k as OLS_k . The following useful theorem is well known and gives an equivalent condition to a pair of Latin squares being orthogonal (see [7]). A *transversal* on a $k \times k$ Latin square is a collection of k entries subject to two conditions: no two entries are in the same row or column, and there is no repetition of the values of the entries.

Theorem 2.1 ([7]). *If $L \in \mathcal{L}_k$, then there exists an $L' \in \mathcal{L}_k$ so that $(L, L') \in OLS_k$ if and only if there exist k disjoint transversals on L .*

Similar to the relations on Latin squares, there are several operations one can perform on pairs of orthogonal Latin squares which preserve the property of being orthogonal. We list some of them below. Suppose $(L, L') \in OLS_k$.

(R1): Exchange row i with row j in both L and L' .

(R2): Exchange column i with column j in both L and L' .

(R3): Exchange two symbols of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ in L .

(R4): Exchange two symbols of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ in L' .

(R5): Transpose either L or L' .

(R6): Apply the map $(L, L') \mapsto (L', L)$.

We define a relation $\sim'$ on OLS_k as follows, $(L_1, L_2) \sim' (L'_1, L'_2)$ if and only if one can change (L_1, L_2) into (L'_1, L'_2) by a finite sequence of applications of (R1)–(R6).

The next corollary is an obvious consequence of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.2. *If $(L, H) \in OLS_k$ and $L \sim L'$, then there exists an $H' \in \mathcal{L}$ so that $(L, H) \sim' (L', H')$.*

Most authors have attempted to determine $|OLS_k|$ for various k using design theory; see, for example, [1, 8] and [11]. In addition to computing their size the authors wish to understand these sets more explicitly. More specifically, we wish to compute the size of the set $OLS_k / \sim'$ and to exhibit representatives for these sets.

3. EQUIVALENCE CLASSES OF ORTHOGONAL LATIN SQUARES

In this section we explicitly describe the structure of the sets $OLS_k / \sim'$ for $k = 3, 4$ and 5 in Theorems 3.4, 3.5, 3.6. In order to prove these results we first have to establish some elementary results about the sets $\mathcal{L}_k / \sim$ and $\mathcal{G}_k / \sim$.

Lemma 3.1. *Adopt the notation given in Section 1. Fix an integer $k \geq 3$. Let $L \in \mathcal{G}_k$, and let $L \sim L_\sigma \in \mathcal{G}'_k$.*

- (1) $L_\sigma \sim L_{(1\dots k)}$. Thus, $\mathcal{G}_k / \sim$ contains one element.
- (2) Let $L_\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_k$. There exists one transversal to L_σ if and only if there exists k disjoint transversals to L_σ .
- (3) If k is odd, and $L \in \mathcal{G}_k$ is given, then there exists an $L' \in \mathcal{G}_k$ which is orthogonal to L .
- (4) If k is even, and $L \in \mathcal{G}_k$, then there does not exist an orthogonal mate to L .

Proof. Let $L_\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_k / \sim$ be given. Since σ is a cycle, it acts transitively on the set $\{1, \dots, k\}$. Thus by a rearrangement of rows, we may order the first column from top to bottom as $\sigma^1(1), \sigma^2(1), \dots, \sigma^k(1)$. Since the second column is produced by application of σ to each element of the first column, the second column must now read $\sigma^2(1), \dots, \sigma^k(1), \sigma^{k+1}(1) = \sigma^1(1)$. Now relabel the symbols according to the rule $\sigma^p(1) \mapsto p$. Assertion 1 is now established.

We now prove assertion 2. Let $L_\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_k / \sim$. Since permuting rows preserves σ , we may assume without loss of generality that the transversal is the main diagonal $\{(L_\sigma)_{ii}\}_{i=1}^k$. Reading off these elements from the top left of the square, the transversal must be, for some j , the ordered set of numbers $\sigma^{j+1}(1), \sigma^{j+2}(1), \dots, \sigma^{j+k}(1)$. By applying σ , we shift each location in the transversal to the right (the element $(L_\sigma)_{kk} \mapsto (L_\sigma)_{1k}$). This is a new transversal, and the process may be repeated to yield k disjoint transversals. This proves Assertion 2.

We now produce a transversal on $L_{(1\dots k)}$ when k is odd to prove Assertion 3. Corollary 2.2 allows us to consider only this case. It is easy to verify that the

main diagonal of $L_{(1\dots k)}$ is a transversal with entries $1, 3, \dots, k, 2, 4, \dots, k-1$. The hypothesis that k is odd is necessary here. If k were even the sequence down the main diagonal repeats the odd numbers less than k . Assertion 3 is now complete.

We finish the proof of Lemma 3.1 by establishing Assertion 4. By Corollary 2.2, it suffices to show that there does not exist a single transversal on $L_{(1\dots k)}$. By Assertion 1 we may assume $\sigma := (1 \cdots k)$. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a transversal. Rearrange the columns so that the transversal is the main diagonal, noting that $\sigma_{1j} = \sigma^{i_j}$ where, $\{i_j\}$ is some ordering of the numbers $0, \dots, k-1$. Recall that σ_{1j} is the permutation sending column 1 to column j . Notice that $i_1 = 0$ and that the numbers down the new first column are still numbered $p, \sigma(p), \dots, \sigma^{k-1}(p)$ for some $p \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Thus, the numbers in the transversal are as follows:

$$p, \sigma^{1+i_2}(p), \sigma^{2+i_3}(p), \dots, \sigma^{k-1+i_k}(p).$$

But $\sigma^{1+i_2}(p) = \sigma^{i_2}(\sigma^1(p)) = \sigma^{i_2}([p+1]) = [p+1+i_2]$, where $[\cdot]$ denotes reduction mod k (reducing mod k changes the numerical entries from $\{1, \dots, k\}$ to the numbers $\{0, \dots, k-1\}$, but this is irrelevant to our proof). Similar to above, we have $\sigma^{j-1+i_j}(p) = [p+j-1+i_j]$. Since this is a transversal, the collection of these numbers (mod k) must be in set bijection with $\mathbb{Z}_k$. Thus the sum of all of these elements must be congruent to $k(k-1)/2 \pmod k$.

We compute:

$$\sum_{j=1}^k [p+j-1+i_j] = \left[\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} j \right] = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j \right] = \left[\frac{k(k-1)}{2} \right].$$

Breaking up the left hand side (notice the change of index in the middle sum), we conclude:

$$[kp] + \left[\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j \right] + \left[\sum_{j=1}^k i_j \right] = \left[\frac{k(k-1)}{2} \right].$$

The middle sum on the left is equal to the right hand side, and $kp = 0 \pmod k$. So we conclude

$$(3.a) \quad \sum_{j=1}^k i_j = 0 \pmod k.$$

Since collectively the i_j simply reorder the numbers 1 through k , their sum must be:

$$(3.b) \quad \left[\sum_{j=1}^k i_j \right] = \left[\sum_{j=1}^k j \right] = \left[\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} j \right] = \left[\frac{k(k-1)}{2} \right].$$

But if k is even, then $\frac{k(k-1)}{2}$ is not congruent to zero mod k . By Equations (3.a) and (3.b), we have a contradiction, and it completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. $\square$

Theorem 3.2. *Let $k \geq 3$.*

- (1) *The set $\mathcal{G}_k / \sim$ contains exactly one element.*
- (2) *The element $\mathcal{G}_k / \sim$ has an orthogonal mate if and only if k is odd.*

Proof. Theorem 3.2 (1) follows from Lemma 3.1 (1), since $L_\sigma \sim L_{(1\dots k)}$ immediately yields that $\mathcal{G}_k / \sim$ contains exactly one element as asserted. Lemma 3.1 (3) and (4) establish Theorem 3.2(2). $\square$

There is a stronger version of Assertion 1 of Lemma 3.1 that will be of use. Recall that we can associate to each permutation $\sigma \in \text{Sym}_k$, a multi-index $I(\sigma)$ that describes the size and number of disjoint cycles in σ when it is written uniquely as a product of disjoint cycles (excluding the fixed points of σ). For instance, the permutation $(15)(236)(49) \in S_9$ corresponds to the multi-index $I((15)(236)(49)) = (2, 2, 3)$ since there are two disjoint 2-cycles, and one 3-cycle. The following result shows that this multi-index is the only relevant information when considering permutations in equivalence classes of Latin squares.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $L \in \mathcal{L}_k$. For each pair (i, j) , $i, j \in 1, \dots, k$ there exists $W \in \mathcal{L}_k$ such that $L \sim W$ and $I(\sigma_{ij}^L) = I(\sigma_{12}^W)$. Moreover, one can choose W such that $W_{1j} = j$.*

Proof. We begin by exchanging columns $i \leftrightarrow 1$, and $j \leftrightarrow 2$. It is easy to verify that if $\tau \in \text{Sym}_k$ is a global relabeling of the entries of L and we produce the equivalent square W from such a relabeling, then $\sigma_{12}^W = \tau \sigma_{ij}^L \tau^{-1}$. Since the conjugation action of S_k on itself is a transitive action among permutations of the same type, the first part of the Lemma follows. Exchanging rows does not change the permutation σ_{12} , thus we may reorder rows so that $W_{1j} = j$. $\square$

We can now lay the framework for our study of 4-nets in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ below by separately establishing Assertions 1, 2, and 3 of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 3.4. *The set $OLS_3 / \sim'$ contains only one element: $(L_{(123)}, L_{(132)})$.*

Proof. It is a basic fact [4] that the maximum number of mutually orthogonal Latin squares of order 3 is 2. The only Latin squares of size 3 must belong to $\mathcal{G}_3$. The only 3-cycles to generate these squares are (123) and (132) . $\square$

For the next theorem, set $\tau_1 = (12)(34)$, $\tau_2 = (14)(23)$, and $\tau_3 = (13)(24)$ as elements of Sym_4 , and set $L_1 := L(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_1)$, $L_2 := L(\tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_2)$, and $L_3 := L(\tau_3, \tau_1, \tau_3)$ as 4×4 Latin squares.

Theorem 3.5. *Let L_i and τ_i be as above.*

- (1) L_1, L_2 , and L_3 are mutually orthogonal.
- (2) Any pair $(L_i, L_j) \in OLS_4 / \sim'$ with $i \neq j$ are equivalent.
- (3) $OLS_4 / \sim' = \{(L_1, L_2)\}$ contains only one element.

Proof. One can easily verify Assertion 1. Although the relation of orthogonality of Latin squares is not reflexive or transitive, it is symmetric. Thus, to establish Assertion 2, we produce a sequence of steps showing $(L_1, L_2) \sim' (L_2, L_3) \sim' (L_3, L_1)$. To show each relation, simply use (R2) and cycle (column 2 $\rightarrow$ column 3 $\rightarrow$ column 4 $\rightarrow$ column 2) in both squares.

We now prove Assertion 3. Let $(L, L') \in OLS_4$, as in the proof of Theorem 3.4, express L in terms of its associated fixed point free permutations $\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{23}, \sigma_{34}$, and σ_{41} . The fixed point free permutations in Sym_4 are exactly τ_1, τ_2 and τ_3 , and the 4-cycles. We consider two cases: either any of σ_{12}, σ_{23} , or σ_{34} are a 4-cycle σ , or none of them are.

By Proposition 3.3, we may assume the entries $L_{1j} = j$, and $\sigma = (1234)$. Now either $L_{13} = 3$ or 4, but after filling in the rest of the square, either choice shows $L \sim L_{(1234)}$. By Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 2.2, we know that L must not have an orthogonal mate, contradicting our assumption that $(L, L') \in OLS_4$. We conclude that each of σ_{12}, σ_{23} , and σ_{34} are the permutations τ_1, τ_2 , and τ_3 .

By considering the distinct permutations σ_{12}, σ_{13} , and σ_{14} instead (which must collectively be, in some order, the permutations τ_1, τ_2 and τ_3), we see that up to a change of columns that $L \sim L_1$. It is an easy exercise to show that there are two possibilities for orthogonal mates: (L_1, L_2) and $(L_1, L_3) \in OLS_4$. Assertion 3 now follows by Assertion 2. $\square$

We conclude our study of orthogonal Latin squares with the following result.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $L \in \mathcal{L}_5$.*

- (1) If $\sigma_{12}^L = (12)(345)$, then there do not exist 5 disjoint transversals.
- (2) If L has an orthogonal mate, then $L \sim L_{(12345)}$.
- (3) The possible orthogonal mates to $L_{(12345)}$ are $L_{(15432)}, L_{(14253)}$, and $L_{(13524)}$.
- (4) The set $OLS_5 / \sim'$ contains at most two elements: $(L_{(12345)}, L_{(15432)})$ and $(L_{(12345)}, L_{(14253)})$.

Proof. Assertion 1 follows from a routine check for transversals. One can show that if $\sigma_{12} = (12)(345)$, then there are not 5 disjoint transversals in L . In fact, with the correct choices one only needs consider two disjoint transversals.

By Proposition 3.3, we need to only consider two permutations: $\sigma_{12} = (12)(345)$ or (12345) . These are the only possible types of fixed point free permutations in Sym_5 . Having proved Assertion 1 if L has an orthogonal mate then we may assume that $\sigma_{12}^L = (12345)$. Then Assertion 2 follows from using the assumption that L is Latin and has 5 disjoint transversals. There are three different Latin squares equivalent to $L_{(12345)}$: $L_{(15432)}$, $L_{(14253)}$, and $L_{(13524)}$. Further, any orthogonal mate is also determined as one of the above squares. Thus Assertions 2 and 3 follow.

To prove the last assertion, we simply note that by permuting columns in the pair $(L_{(12345)}, L_{(14253)})$ and relabeling each square separately, we see that

$$(L_{(12345)}, L_{(14253)}) \sim' (L_{(12345)}, L_{(13524)}).$$

This completes the proof of Assertion 4. $\square$

Remark 3.7. Let $L = L(\sigma_{12}, \dots, \sigma_{k-1,k}) \in \mathcal{L}_k$. We have proved in this section that if $k = 3, 4$, or 5 , and if L is to have an orthogonal mate, then each of the associated permutations to L must be even (i.e., expressible as a product of an even number of transpositions). However, for higher k there are examples where one of the associated permutations is odd and it has an orthogonal mate (see [7, 14]). There are also examples where all the permutations are even, but there is no orthogonal mate. It would be interesting to know how the parity of the σ_{ij} effects the existence of an orthogonal mate.

4. REALIZATION SPACES

As discussed in the introduction, there is a relationship between $(4, k)$ -nets and $OLS_k / \sim'$. Given a $(4, k)$ -net, one can construct a unique element of $OLS_k / \sim'$ that represents the underlying combinatorial structure. Nets in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ that are projectively isomorphic will produce equivalent combinatorial structures. Conversely, given an element of $OLS_k / \sim'$ there need not exist a $(4, k)$ -net in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with the given structure.

We quickly review how to compute the realization space in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ of a pair of orthogonal Latin squares (see [2]). A pair of Orthogonal Latin squares (L_1, L_2) defines the points of χ for a combinatorial structure of a $(4, k)$ -net that might be associated with a $(4, k)$ -net in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. Let $M_{(L_1, L_2)}$ be a $4k \times 3$ matrix of complex numbers, defined by 4 blocks of k rows where the i^{th} row in the j^{th} block is $a_{j,i}$ $b_{j,i}$ $c_{j,i}$. Let the rows of $M_{(L_1, L_2)}$ be the coefficients of the linear forms defining the lines of the alleged $(4, k)$ -net in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. Then for each point of χ , the corresponding minor of $M_{(L_1, L_2)}$ should be zero. Thus, the realization space of the pair of orthogonal

Latin squares (L_1, L_2) is the space of solutions to all of the minors associated to χ ; we denote this space $R(L_1, L_2)$. Each line of the net to be realized is labeled by a distinct element of $\{1, \dots, 4k\}$; hence the points of χ are given by 4-tuples of distinct elements of this set. In each case we use the lexicographic ordering of the 4-tuples to compute the minors consecutively. The next proposition shows that we only need to realize one representative of each equivalence class. This proposition is a consequence of the following two facts: 1) the relations (R1)-(R6) preserve the isomorphism type of the Latin squares component of the intersection lattice of a possible net in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ and 2) the realization space of a lattice or matroid is invariant under isomorphism.

Proposition 4.1. *If $(L_1, L_2) \sim' (L'_1, L'_2)$ then $R(L_1, L_2)$ and $R(L'_1, L'_2)$ are isomorphic as varieties.*

Remark 4.2. *Using Proposition 3.3 and Corollary 3.5 in [23] we can assume that*

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,1} & b_{1,1} & c_{1,1} \\ a_{1,2} & b_{1,2} & c_{1,2} \\ a_{1,3} & b_{1,3} & c_{1,3} \\ a_{2,1} & b_{2,1} & c_{2,1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

4.1. Realization of (4,3)-nets/Proof of Theorem 1.3 (1). Theorem 3.4 shows that the only combinatorial structure possible for a (4,3)-net is given by the pair $(L_{(123)}, L_{(132)}) \in OLS_3 / \sim'$. By computing minors, we conclude

$$M_{(L_{(123)}, L_{(132)})} = \begin{bmatrix} B \\ 1 & \omega^i & \omega^j \end{bmatrix}$$

where ω is a (primitive) root of $x^3 - 1$ and i and j range through the set $\{0, 1, 2\}$. This is the Hessian configuration, see Example 6.29 of [15] and Example 3.6 of [23]. This proves Theorem 1.3 for $k = 3$. $\square$

4.2. Realization of (4,4)-nets/Proof of Theorem 1.3 (2). By Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 4.1, there is only one combinatorial structure for a (4,4)-net. We prove Theorem 1.3 in the case $k = 4$ by attempting to realize the combinatorial structure given by $(L_1, L_2) \in OLS_4 / \sim'$, where L_1 and L_2 are the squares given in Theorem 3.5. In this case $|\chi| = 16$. Using the first 13 points of χ given by the pair

L_1 and L_2 we get that

$$M_{(L_1, L_2)} = \begin{bmatrix} & B & \\ r - t^{-1} & 1 + r & 1 - r \\ t & -t & 1 \\ t & 1 & -1 \\ -t^{-1} & 1 & t^{-1} \\ t & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -t & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & t^{-1} \\ -t^{-1} & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -t & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & t^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then using the last three points of χ we find that $r = \frac{1}{4}(1 - t^{-2})$, $t = -2 \pm \sqrt{5}$, and $t^2 + 3 = 0$. This system of equations has no solution, so $R(L_1, L_2) = \emptyset$ proving Theorem 1.3 for $k = 4$: there do not exist any $(4, 4)$ -nets in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. $\square$

4.3. Realization of (4,5)-nets/Proof of Theorem 1.3 (3). By Theorem 3.6 and Proposition 4.1, there are at most two possible combinatorial structures for a $(4, 5)$ -net. In this case $|\chi| = 25$. First, we consider the pair $(L_{(12345)}, L_{(15432)})$ as our combinatorial structure. Using only the first 17 points of χ , we find that for some number t , there is a line in class 2 corresponding to the line defined by the linear form $tx + y + t^2z = 0$, and a line in class 3 that corresponds to the line defined by the linear form $x + y + tz = 0$. Then using one more point of χ we get that $t = 0$ or 1 . This cannot happen, in both cases a line repeats. Hence the realization space is empty and there does not exist any $(4, 5)$ -net in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with Latin squares $(L_{(12345)}, L_{(15432)})$.

Now, we compute the realization space for the pair of Latin squares $(L_{(12345)}, L_{(14253)})$. Using the first 22 points of χ we compute that

$$M_{(L_{(12345)}, L_{(14253)})} = \begin{bmatrix} & & B \\ & 1 - qt^{-3} & 1 - q & 1 - qt^{-1} \\ a & b & c \\ t^{-1} & t^{-3} & 1 \\ t^{-1} & 1 & t \\ t & 1 & t^{-1} \\ t & t^3 & 1 \\ t & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & t^{-3} & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & t \\ t^{-3} & 1 & t^{-1} \\ t^2 & t^3 & 1 \\ t^{-1} & 1 & 1 \\ t^{-2} & t^{-3} & 1 \\ t^3 & 1 & t \\ 1 & 1 & t^{-1} \\ 1 & t^3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $t^5 - 1 = 0$ and $q = \frac{1+t^3}{2+t^3-t^2}$. Then using one more point of χ we get that $t^3 - 1 = 0$. Hence, $R(L_{(12345)}, L_{(14253)})$ and there does not exist any $(4, 5)$ -nets in $\mathbb{CP}^2$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.3. $\square$

The second author and Eric Dybeck have made further progress towards writing a computer program that would determine the classification of $(4, k)$ -nets in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ for $k \geq 7$.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author is partially supported by a CSUSB faculty grant. The third author has been supported by NSF grant # 0600893 and the NSF Japan program. The authors would like to thank Sergey Yuzvinsky for many helpful conversations.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Julian Abel, C. Colbourn, M. Wojtas, Concerning seven and eight mutually orthogonal Latin squares, *J. Combin. Des.*, **12**, 2004, no. 2, 123–131.
- [2] A. Björner, M. Las Vergnas, B. Sturmfels, N. White, G. Ziegler. **Oriented matroids**, Second edition. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, **46**, *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge*, (1999), ISBN: 0-521-77750-X.

- [3] R.C. Bose, S.S. Shrikhande, and E.T. Parker, Further results on the construction of mutually orthogonal Latin squares and the falsity of Euler's conjecture, *Canadian J. Math.*, **12**, 1960, 189–203.
- [4] R. Brualdi, **Introductory Combinatorics**, Prentice Hall, New Jersey (1999), ISBN: 0-13-181488-5.
- [5] The CRC handbook of combinatorial designs. Edited by Charles J. Colbourn and Jeffrey H. Dinitz. CRC Press Series on Discrete Mathematics and its Applications. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1996. xviii+753 pp.
- [6] C. Colbourn, J. Dinitz, Mutually orthogonal Latin squares: a brief survey of constructions, Special issue on design combinatorics: in honor of S. S. Shrikhande., *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, **95**, 2001, no. 1-2, 9–48.
- [7] J. Dénes, A.D. Keedwell, **Latin squares and their applications**, Academic Press, New York-London, (1974), ISBN: 9-630-50255-0.
- [8] S. Chowla, P. Erdős, E. Straus, On the maximal number of pairwise orthogonal Latin squares of a given order, *Canad. J. Math.*, **12**, 1960, 204–208.
- [9] T. Evans, Universal algebra and Euler's officer problem, *The American Mathematical Monthly*, **86**, No. 6., 1979, 466–473.
- [10] M. Gras, Une construction explicite de carrés latins orthogonaux d'ordre n pair, $n \geq 10$, *J. Algebra*, **219**, 1999, no. 1, 369–377.
- [11] Q. Li, Y. Tang, A new upper bound for the largest number of mutually orthogonal Latin squares, *Math. Theory Appl.*, (Changsha) **25**, 2005, no. 3, 60–63.
- [12] A. Libgober, S. Yuzvinsky, Cohomology of the Orlik-Solomon algebras and local systems. *Compositio Math.* **121**, 2000, no. 3, 337–361.
- [13] Z. Lie, A short disproof of Euler's conjecture concerning orthogonal Latin squares, *Ars Combin.*, **14**, 1982, 47–55.
- [14] G.E. Moorhouse, Nets and Latin squares of small order,
<http://www.uwo.edu/moorhouse/pub/nets.html>
- [15] P. Orlik, H. Terao, **Arrangements of hyperplanes** (Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften), **300**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1992), ISBN: 3-540-55259-6.
- [16] K. Reidemeister, Topologische Fragen der Differentialgeometrie. V. Gewebe und Gruppen, *Math. Z.*, **29**, 1929, no. 1, 427–435.
- [17] J. Stipins, On finite k -nets in the complex projective plane, Ph.D. dissertation, The University of Michigan, 2007.
- [18] J. Stipins, Old and new examples of k -nets in P^2 , arxiv:math/0701046
- [19] G. Tarry, Le problème de 36 officiers, *Compte Rendu de l'Association Française pour l'Avancement de Science Naturel*, 1 (1900), 122–123 and 2 (1901), 170–203.
- [20] G. Urzua, Arrangements of Curves and Algebraic Surfaces, Ph.D. dissertation, The University of Michigan, 2008.
- [21] G. Urzua, On line arrangements with applications to 3-nets, arXiv:0704.0469
- [22] C. Xu, H. Guan, A short proof of the existence of self-orthogonal Latin squares, *Acta Math. Appl. Sinica*, **16**, 1993, no. 2, 185–190.
- [23] S. Yuzvinsky, Realization of finite Abelian groups by nets in $\mathbb{P}^2$, arxiv:math.CO/0305242.

CD: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN BERNARDINO, 92407.

E-mail address: `cmdunn@csusb.edu`

MM: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OR, 97403.

E-mail address: `mmiller7@uoregon.edu`

MW: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY, SAPPORO, 060-0810, JAPAN.

E-mail address: `wakefield@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp`

SZ: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT RIVERSIDE, 92521.

E-mail address: `zwick@math.ucr.edu`